Introduction

Static Weakness and Trapdoors

Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors

The Megiddo Library 0000000 Conclusion

Detection and Operational Cryptanalysis of Weakly Implemented, Weak or Trapped Encryption Systems A Step-by-Step Tutorial - Part II

Eric Filiol, filiol@esiea.fr

 $\begin{array}{l} {\sf ESIEA - Laval} \\ {\sf Operational Cryptology and Virology Lab} \ (C+V)^O \\ {\tt http://www.esiea-recherche.eu/} \end{array}$

H2HC 2010 - Sao Paulo & Cancun





Introduction	Static Weakness and Trapdoors	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors	The Megiddo Library 0000000	Conclusion
Outline	2			
1 Introd	uction			

- Static Weakness and Trapdoors
- Introduction
- Weakly Implemented Ciphers
- Trapped Stream Ciphers
- 3 Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors
 - Introduction
 - Malware-based Dynamic Trapdoors
- 4 The Megiddo Library
 - Introduction
 - Detection
 - Modeling the Plaintext
 - Decryption Step
 - More Stuff
- 5 Conclusior

Static Weakness and Trapdoors

Introduction : what the next step?

- We have seen (part I of the tutorial) how
 - weakly implemented
 - weak (at the mathematical design level)
 - or trapped encryption systems
- can be detected and cryptanalyzed !
- What about practical cases?
- Is it possible to detect this only during limited period of time?
 - The cryptographic design looks secure on the paper only !
 - Concept of Dynamic Encryption Trapdoor
- What can be the impact of the overall computer security on the cryptographic security?
- Presentation of the Open source cryptanalysis library Mediggo Practice.

Introduction	Static Weakness and Trapdoors	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors	The Megiddo Library 0000000	Conclusion
Introdu	iction			

- Without loss of generality, the examples and real cases presented here have been simplified for sake of clarity and to fit to the limited duration of the tutorial
 - Realistic cases involve more mathematics that you are ready to accept (and you really need).
 - Cases coming from satellite communications, encrypted malware, encryption software...
- Everything presented here is
 - Either inspired by real cases during the last 60 years
 - Or are the results of current research in our lab.

Introduction	Static Weakness and Trapdoors	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors	The Megiddo Library 0000000	Conclusion
Aim of	Part II			

- Learn on practical cryptanalysis
- Be able to detect any weak encrypted traffic or files.
- Be able to break it without effort.
- Present the Megiddo cryptanalysis library
- Practice !

Introduction

Static Weakness and Trapdoors

Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors

The Megiddo Library

Conclusion

Summary of the talk - Part II



• Malware-based Dynamic Trapdoors

- 4 The Megiddo Library
 - Introduction
 - Detection
 - Modeling the Plaintext
 - Decryption Step
 - More Stuff
- 5 Conclusion

Introduction	Static Weakness and Trapdoors	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors	The Megiddo Library	Cond

Outline

Introduction

- Static Weakness and Trapdoors
 - Introduction
- Weakly Implemented Ciphers
- Trapped Stream Ciphers
- 3) Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors
 - Introduction
 - Malware-based Dynamic Trapdoors
- 4 The Megiddo Library
 - Introduction
 - Detection
 - Modeling the Plaintext
 - Decryption Step
 - More Stuff
- 5 Conclusior

lusion

Introduction	Static Weakness and Trapdoors	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors	The Megiddo Library 0000000	Conclusion
Introduction				
Introdu	uction			

- Despite many encryption systems are public, still many products (hardware and/or software) embed
 - Public algorithms but weakly (intendly or not) implemented
 - Proprietary algorithms which are either weak in their design and/or have implementation trapdoors.
- The issue is : how to detect this situation without performing time-consuming, illegal reverse-engineering?
- Without loss of generality we will focus on stream ciphers
 - Still widely used (satellite communications, telecommunications, governmental use, encryption of binaries...).
 - Illustrating with block ciphers would require more mathematics !

Introduction	Static Weakness and Trapdoors	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors	The Megiddo Library 0000000	Conclusion		
Weakly Implemented Ciphers						
Examp	le I : Malware Er	ncryption				

- Drawn from a real case.
- The malware author uses a N-byte truly random sequence repeatedly combined to the binary to protect it (Vernam cipher) where N is itself random in the range [64, 256] (in bytes)



Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors

The Megiddo Library 0000000 Conclusion

Weakly Implemented Ciphers

Example I : Malware Encryption (2)

- Trying all the N-subsequences is impossible (about 2^{768} possibilities).
- The solution is :
 - Try all possible lengths N of code chunks (linear complexity in the size of the binary code).
 - 2 For each value of N, split the code into N-byte chunks.
 - Ompute the coincidence indices between chunks of code.
- For the correct value of N, we have a statistical peak and the different chunks of code behave like parallel encrypted texts.
- Decryption is then easy.
- See detection in the pratice part of this tutorial.



Let us consider a generic stream cipher scheme (most of the existing stream ciphers can be more or less transformed in this generic scheme).



• Two critical components (in which to hide trapdoors) :

- The Linear Feedback Shift Registers (LFSR).
- The Boolean combining function.

Introduction	Static Weakness and Trapdoors	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors	The Megiddo Library 0000000	Conclusion
Trapped Strear	n Ciphers			
LFSR ⁻	Trapdoor			



- Used to produce statistically good random sequence of bits.
- Use of a characterictic polynomial P(x) to compute the feedback.
 P(x) = x¹⁶ ⊕ x⁵ ⊕ x³ ⊕ x² ⊕ 1 in the example.
- The degree of the polynomial is also the length of the LFSR.
- A LFSR generates periodic sequences by nature (must start with the non-zero state).
- In a cryptographic context, the key is the initial state.

Introduction	Static Weakness and Trapdoors ○○○○○●○○	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors 000000	The Megiddo Library 0000000	Conclusion
Trapped Stream	n Ciphers			
LFSR ⁻	Trapdoor (2)			

- If the characterictic polynomial P(x) is primitive then the sequence produced is ultimately periodic and has length $2^n 1$.
 - $P(x) = x^3 \oplus x \oplus 1$ is primitive.
- Otherwise the period length is (far) less than $2^n 1$.
 - $P(x) = x^3 \oplus x^2 \oplus x \oplus 1$ is not primitive.
 - It has two cycles (one of length 2, one of length 5).
- Another constraint : all LFSR lengths must be co-prime (relatively prime).
- LFSR length must be itself prime as well (Decimation attack Filiol 2001).
- Whenever those three properties are not fullfilled (primitive AND co-prime polynomials) then the system can have shorter output sequences.
 - The system comes back to the initial state quicker than expected.

Introduction Static Weakness and Trapdoors	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors	The Megiddo Library 0000000	Conclusion
Trapped Stream Ciphers			
LFSR Trapdoor (3)			

- First trap : use non primitive polynomial.
 - The LFSR will produce short (periodic) cycles.
- Second trap : use non co-prime LFSR length.
- Third trap : use even LFSR length.
- Combining the two traps is better.
- Just calibrate things in such a way that there exist short cycles for every LFSR (since polynomials are not primitive) whose respective length is not co-prime.
 - The system will produce short periodic cycles.
- The system will produce parallel encrypted texts with a frequency you can play on.

Introduction	Static Weakness and Trapdoors ○○○○○○●	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors	The Megiddo Library 0000000	Conclusion
Trapped Stream	Ciphers			

Boolean Function Trapdoor

- Here we use the fact that any encryption system use
 - A base key (changed every day, week, month...) K.
 - A message key (changed for every encrypted text) K_m .
- Example drawn from a real case during the 80-90s.
- A Boolean function f is defined on \mathbb{F}_2^n and hence has 2^n variables.

•
$$f(x) = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} a_u x^u$$
 where $a_u \in \mathbb{F}_2$ and $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$.

- How to trap the Boolean function?
 - **1** Use a message key K_m of size 2^{n-1}
 - Xor it by half to the Boolean function truth table ([0, 2ⁿ⁻¹ - 1], [2ⁿ⁻¹, 2ⁿ]).
- Produce partial parallel encrypted texts (according to a K_m -dependent decimation of the ciphertext).

Introduction	Static Weakness and Trapdoors	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors	The Megiddo Library	Conclusio

Outline

Introduction

- Static Weakness and Trapdoors
 - Introduction
 - Weakly Implemented Ciphers
 - Trapped Stream Ciphers
- Oynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors
 - Introduction
 - Malware-based Dynamic Trapdoors

4 The Megiddo Library

- Introduction
- Detection
- Modeling the Plaintext
- Decryption Step
- More Stuff
- 5 Conclusion

Introduction	Static Weakness and Trapdoors	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors	The Megiddo Library 0000000	Conclusion
Introduction				
Introdu	uction			

- Here we consider a strong cryptosystem (AES, TrueCrypt, GPG/PGP...).
- However the security at the operating level is not perfect.
- What is it possible to do with a simple malware?
 - Of course it can eavesdrop/wiretap the key and send it outside.
- What about computers with no network connection or whenever key wiretapping is no longer possible?
- The solution is
 - Modify the cryptographic environment on-the-fly.
 - Modify the crypto-system on-the-fly in memory only.
- The "static (mathematical) security" remains unquestioned !
- Just create dynamically periods of time during which the encryption system is weak.

Introduction	Static Weakness and Trapdoors	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors	The Megiddo Library 0000000	Conclusion			
Malware-based Dynamic Trapdoors							
Progra	m Interaction Co	ontrol					

- Here we exploit the fact that very often, the message key K_m is built from data provided by external programs.
 - Message counter, message key, session key...
 - Initialization vectors for block ciphers.
 - Integer nonces.
- Most of the time the resources involved are in the Windows API.
 - They provide random data required by the encryption application to generate message keys and IVs
- You then just have to hook the API function involved.
- Same approach for other equivalent resources (key infrastructure, network-based key management...).

Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors

The Megiddo Library 0000000

Library Conclusion

Malware-based Dynamic Trapdoors

Hooking the CryptGenRandom function

- Drawn from a real case.
- A malicious DLL is injected in some (suitable) processes. This DLL hooks the CryptGenRandom function (included in Microsoft's Cryptographic Application Programming Interface).

CryptGenRandom function

```
BOOL WINAPI CryptGenRandom(
__in HCRYPTPROV hProv,
__in DWORD dwLen,
__inout BYTE *pbBuffer
);
```

• A timing function checks whether we are in the time window given as parameter sTime(12, 00, 14, 00)[...]. will hook the CryptGenRandom function between noon and 2pm only.

E. Filiol (Esiea - $(C+V)^O$ lab)

Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors

The Megiddo Library 0000000 Conclusion

Malware-based Dynamic Trapdoors

Hooking the CryptGenRandom function (2)

- The integer (random data) returned by CryptGenRandom is modified by the function HookedCryptGenRandom.
 - They provide random data required by the encryption application to generate message keys and IVs
- You then just have to hook the API function involved.
- Same approach for other equivalent resources (key infrastructure, network-based key management...).

Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors

The Megiddo Library 0000000 Conclusion

Malware-based Dynamic Trapdoors

Hooking the CryptGenRandom function (3)

Generate fixed message key 0x12121212121212121212

HookedCryptGenRandom function

```
BOOL WINAPI HookedCryptGenRandom(HCRYPTPROV hProv, DWORD dwLen, BYTE *pbBuffer)
```

```
static BOOL send12 = 0; BOOL isOK; DWORD i;
send12 = 1;
isOK = HookFreeCryptGenRandom(hProv, dwLen, pbBuffer);
if((send12) && (isOK))
for(i = 0; i < dwLen; i++) pbBuffer[i] = 0x12;
return isOK;
```

Let us have a look into the code...

Introduction	Static Weakness and Trapdoors	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors ○0000●	The Megiddo Library 0000000	Conclusion			
Malware-based	Malware-based Dynamic Trapdoors						
Memor	ry Attack Only						

- The idea here consists in scanning for active encryption system in memory and modifying their mathematical design on-the-fly only.
- Volatile modification which does not affect the application on the disk.
- Our Implementation to attack AES
 - scanKernelModules function to look for AES' sboxes signature.
 - patchModule function to modify (weaken) those Sboxes.
- Let us have a look into the code...
- You can do many other things
 - Switch mode of operation (e.g. CBC to OFB).
 - Modify internal message key or IV generation
 - ... no limit but your imagination !

Introduction	n
Introduction	

Static Weakness and Trapdoors

Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors

The Megiddo Library

Conclusion

Outline

Introduction

- Static Weakness and Trapdoors
 - Introduction
 - Weakly Implemented Ciphers
 - Trapped Stream Ciphers
- 3 Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors
 - Introduction
 - Malware-based Dynamic Trapdoors
- 4 The Megiddo Library
 - Introduction
 - Detection
 - Modeling the Plaintext
 - Decryption Step
 - More Stuff

Conclusion

Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors

The Megiddo Library

Conclusion

Introduction

Introduction : The Megiddo Library

Megiddo

- Open source cryptanalysis library in C
- At the present time
 - Detection and cryptanalysis of weakly implemented or trapped systems
- To come
 - Automatic detection of statistical biases in cryptographic algorithms.
 - Specific cryptanalysis tools.
- More to come later...
- Source code and samples available on http://code.google.com/p/mediggo/

Introduction	Static Weakness and Trapdoors	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors	The Megiddo Library ○●00000	Conclusion
Detection				
Detect	ion step			

- What the issue?
 - Among thousands of encrypted texts, how to detect the weak subsets (parallel ciphertexts)?
 - As for a single encrypted file how to detect the existence of parallel parts ?
- As a general principle, compute the coincidence indices
- For the first problem, use file detect.c
 - ./detect <ciphertext_dirname> <outputfile>
 - Apply the equivalence relationship to find subsets.

Inti	nd	LICTI	\cap n
	ou	ucu	

Static Weakness and Trapdoors

Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors

The Megiddo Library

Conclusion

Detection

Detection step (2)

Solution for Ciphertexts2 directory

cry35.txt	-	cry34.txt	-	Coincidence	Index =	0.6760
cry35.txt	-	cry33.txt	-	Coincidence	Index =	0.6667
cry35.txt	-	cry32.txt	-	Coincidence	Index =	0.6711
cry35.txt	-	cry31.txt	-	Coincidence	Index =	0.6755
cry34.txt	-	cry33.txt	-	Coincidence	Index =	0.6762
cry34.txt	-	cry32.txt	-	Coincidence	Index =	0.6700
cry34.txt	-	cry31.txt	-	Coincidence	Index =	0.6738
cry33.txt	-	cry32.txt	-	Coincidence	Index =	0.6780
cry33.txt	-	cry31.txt	-	Coincidence	Index =	0.6811
cry32.txt	-	cry31.txt	-	Coincidence	Index =	0.6713

Here ciphertexts cry31.txt, cry32.txt, cry33.txt, cry34.txt, cry35.txt define a parallel subset.

roduction	Weakness	and	Trapdoors

Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors

The Megiddo Library ○○○●○○○ Conclusion

Detection

Detection step : single encrypted file

- To solve the second problem, use file detect_singlefile.c
 - ./detect_singlefile <ciphertext_file>
- If the size of the chunks is N = n (refer to slide *Example I* : *Malware Encryption*) then you also get a statistical peak for values n, 2n, 3n...

Solution for cryptfile1
n = 134 - Coincidence Index = 0.5236
n = 268 - Coincidence Index = 0.5229
n = 402 - Coincidence Index = 0.5221
n = 536 - Coincidence Index = 0.5197
n = 2010 - Coincidence Index = 0.5152
n = 2144 - Coincidence Index = 0.5154
n = 2680 - Coincidence Index = 0.5152

• Here the solution is n = 134 (bytes).



- The aim is to have a statistical model of the plaintext language (at the Chomsky's sense).
- Hence the approach is the same both natural languages (class 1) and programming languages (class 2).
- Extendable to any other class of grammar/language.
- Use file create_corpus.c
 - ./create_corpus <ref_text_dirname> <corpus output file>
- Optimal values : 4-grams over a 96-character alphabet
- Sample corpus provided in the library covers most of the Western languages.
- Build your own corpus!

Introduction	Static Weakness and Trapdoors	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors	The Megiddo Library ○○○○○●○	Conclusion
Decryption Step	2			
Crypta	nalysis step			

- On each weak encrypted texts subset, we launch the cryptanalysis
- Use file decrypt_para.c
 - ./decrypt_para <corpus> <sequence_file> <crypto1> <crypto 2>...
- You obtain the pseudo-running sequence. You must use it now to decipher each ciphertext :
 - Use file decipher.c
 - decipher <ciphertext_file> <pseudo-random sequence_file> <plaintext_file>

Introduction	Static Weakness and Trapdoors	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors	The Megiddo Library ○○○○○●	Conclusion
More Stuff				
More s	tuff			

• Utility texte_extract.c

- Extract encrypted data in MS Word and MS Excel documents (up to Office 2003)
- Then you can proceed as previously
- You will find the technical paper and a few other slides (including the present ones) in the archive.

	luction	Static Weakness and Trapdoors	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors	The Megiddo Library 0000000	Conclusion
Οι	utline	1			
1 2	Introdu Static • Intro • Wea • Trap	uction Weakness and Trapdoc oduction Ikly Implemented Ciphe oped Stream Ciphers	ors rs		
3	Dynam • Intro	nic Cryptographic Trapo oduction	doors		

- Malware-based Dynamic Trapdoors
- 4 The Megiddo Library
 - Introduction
 - Detection
 - Modeling the Plaintext
 - Decryption Step
 - More Stuff
- 5 Conclusion

Introduction	Static Weakness and Trapdoors	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors	The Megiddo Library 0000000	Conclusion
Conclu	sion			

- Cryptographic strength and security cannot be defined in a static way way only
- The implementation and the way of use are critical parts of that security.
- Environmental security can reduce the cryptographic security dramatically.
- Dynamic, time-limited (or time-dependant) are likely to be the future of cryptographic attacks...
- ... if it is not already the case.
- Enjoy cryptanalysis and stay tuned to further developments in Megiddo

Introduction	Static Weakness and Trapdoors	Dynamic Cryptographic Trapdoors	The Megiddo Library 0000000	Conclusion
Questions				

- Many thanks for your attention.
- Questions ...
- ... and Answers.